0400.11 00200 210 0000.1101 20 1100.01701,10 120.21.00	Desc:
Case:17-03283-LTS Doc#:7781-13 Filed:07/01/19 Entered:07/01/19 18:24:35 Exhibit NN Page 1 of 46	
EXHIBIT NN	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

In re:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND
MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,
as representative of
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, et al.
Debtor,

----X

In re:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND

MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO

as representative of

THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,

Debtor.

450 Park Avenue
New York, New York
June 18, 2019 - 9:35 A.M.

EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of GAURAV MALHOTRA, an Expert Witness herein, taken by the attorneys for the respective parties, pursuant to Notice, held at the above-stated time and place, before Melissa Leonetti, RPR, a Notary Public of the State of New York.



	5
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
3	video record. This is the video deposition
4	of Gaurav Malhotra in the matter of In Re:
5	The Financial Oversight and Management Board
6	for Puerto Rico, et al., held at the offices
7	of Jones Day, 450 Park Avenue, New York, New
8	York, on Tuesday, June 18th, at 9:35 a.m.
9	I am Martin Zinkel, the videographer.
10	The court reporter is Missy Leonetti. We are
11	from the firm of Advanced Depositions in
12	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
13	Appearances will be noted on the
14	stenographic record, and the reporter will
15	now swear in the witness.
16	GAUROV MALHOTRA, after having first
17	been duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of
18	New York, was examined and testified as follows:
19	EXAMINATION BY
20	GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ.:
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Malhotra.
22	A. Good morning.
23	Q. You and I have met before?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. It's nice to see you again. You have



	52
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. Do you see in the first full paragraph
3	there's a reference to something called a Pay-Go
4	fee?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Do you know what a Pay-Go fee is?
7	A. My assumption of the Pay-Go fee is the
8	costs related to paying benefits for ERS retirees in
9	any given year.
10	Q. If you could look at the definition of
11	Pay-Go fee. I'm not going to read it aloud, because
12	it's a little bit too long.
13	My question to you is going to be
14	whether your understanding of the Pay-Go fee is
15	consistent with what this definition provides on
16	page 15 of our exhibit.
17	A. I just need to read it once.
18	Q. Please.
19	A. Generally seems consistent.
20	Q. Let me ask you some questions about the
21	Pay-Go fee.
22	Who was it who actually paid a Pay-Go
23	fee?
24	MS DALE: Objection to the form of the
25	question.

	53
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. If you know.
3	MS DALE: Ever? When?
4	MR. STEWART: Just generally who pays
5	Pay-Go fees.
6	A. Hacienda pays the Pay-Go amounts.
7	Q. Who does Hacienda pay them to?
8	A. My understanding is that it actually is
9	being paid to the retirees directly.
10	Q. Where does the Pay-Go money come from?
11	A. It comes from the Commonwealth's budget.
12	Q. From any other source?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Do you know what account well,
15	actually, let me let's go to page 16. On page 16
16	under section 2.1, there's something described
17	called the
18	MS DALE: Where are you
19	MR. STEWART: Page 17. I apologize.
20	Q. Let me back up to page 16. Sorry to be
21	jumping around.
22	Do you see in the middle of the page 16
23	under the subparagraph R, there's something
24	defined called an Accumulated Pension?
25	A. Yes.

	54
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. Do you know what an accumulated pension
3	is?
4	MS DALE: Objection to the form of the
5	question.
6	Are you asking him what this defined
7	term is or just generally?
8	MR. STEWART: I guess let me start
9	generally, then I'll move to his term.
10	A. Generally it's based on years of service
11	a certain employee has accrued in terms of what
12	their pension benefit will be is what I would assume
13	that accrued pension is.
14	Q. Let's go now to page 17.
15	Do you see at the top of the page
16	there's a header, section 2.1, Accumulated
17	Pensions Payment Account? Do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you know what the accumulated pensions
20	payment account is?
21	A. I do not.
22	Q. Or where it is held?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Or how it is funded?
25	A. No.

	55	
1	G. MALHOTRA	
2	Q. Or what it is used for?	
3	A. I mean, the accumulated pensions payment	
4	account, this particular account, I have not heard	
5	come across that definition before.	
6	Q. Okay. You can close this exhibit, then,	
7	if you haven't seen it.	
8	A. (Witness complies.)	
9	Q. Do you know how Hacienda makes payments	
10	to retirees?	
11	A. My understanding is that it's done on a	
12	monthly basis in terms of the retiree checks that	
13	or the pension checks that need to be made to the	
14	retirees on the monthly basis, Hacienda is making	
15	those payments.	
16	Q. Do you know how Hacienda knows how much	
17	the payment should be?	
18	A. I do not.	
19	Q. Do you know what the chart of accounts is	
20	at Hacienda in terms of tracking which account those	
21	payments are made from?	
22	MS DALE: Objection to the form of the	
23	question.	
24	A. In terms of which specific bank accounts	
25	those checks are made out of, I do not know exactly	

	56
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	which bank accounts, because I assume Hacienda has
3	lots of different bank accounts. I don't know which
4	particular different bank account the checks go out
5	of.
6	Q. In terms of Hacienda's accounting, do you
7	know which journal entries are made to reflect those
8	payments to retirees?
9	A. I do not.
10	Q. Or what accounts those journal entries
11	are made with respect to?
12	A. I do not.
13	Q. Do you know of something called I
14	think I've asked you about Pay-Go fees. Do you know
15	what is the difference, if any, between a Pay-Go fee
16	on one hand and the amount Hacienda pays retirees on
17	the other?
18	A. My assumption it would be the same
19	amount.
20	Q. Would it, in fact, be the same thing as
21	opposed to the same amount?
22	A. The payments of current retiree checks
23	would be would be the Pay-Go fees.
24	Q. Okay.
25	Are you aware that public corporations



	57
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	in the Commonwealth are required to periodically
3	make payments to Hacienda with respect to
4	retirement benefits?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What are those payments?
7	A. Those would be the payments for the
8	retirees of those public corporations that the
9	public corporations need to make.
10	Q. Okay. And that's how the Pay-Go system
11	works?
12	A. Yeah. That is my understanding.
13	Q. Okay. What's the name given to those
14	payments?
15	A. The payments from the corporations?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. Those would be the payments that are due
18	for the retirees of the corporations, and then they
19	would if Hacienda has made the payments on behalf
20	of those corporations, those payments would be paid
21	back to Hacienda.
22	Q. By the public corporations in this case?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. What do you call those payments?
25	A. Reimbursements.



		58	
1	1	G. MALHOTRA	
2	Q. Are yo	u aware of similar payments made by	
3	the Commonwealth	itself?	
4	4 MS DAL	E: Objection to the form.	
5	A. Common	wealth that has made on behalf of	
6	other like munici	palities, for instance?	
7	Q. No, th	e Commonwealth on behalf of the	
8	Commonwealth.	Commonwealth.	
9	A. I'm no	t sure I understand the question.	
10	Q. Let me	ask this: With respect to	
11	municipalities, d	o they pay a similar reimbursement	
12	to Hacienda?		
13	A. They'r	e supposed to, yes.	
14	Q. But th	at changed recently with Act 29?	
15	MS DAL	E: Objection to the form.	
16	To the	extent you understand.	
17	Q. Just s	ay yes or no.	
18	A. It is	still being pursued in terms of	
19	collecting from t	he municipalities for their portion	
20	of payments made	to their retirees.	
21	Q. There	is a statute we can mark called Act	
22	29.		
23	A. Yes.	I don't remember the exact act	
24	number, but I'm a	ware.	
25	Q. You've	seen Act 29 before?	

	59
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	A. I've heard about it. I have not studied
3	it.
4	MR. STEWART: Let's mark it so it's in
5	the record as Malhotra Exhibit 6.
6	(Whereupon, Act 29 was marked as
7	Malhotra Exhibit 6 for identification, as of
8	this date.)
9	Q. Mr. Malhotra, the reporter's placed
10	before you Malhotra Exhibit 6, which is a certified
11	translation of something called Law 29 of May 17,
12	2019.
13	Have you seen this provision either in
14	Spanish or in translation before?
15	A. I have not seen this entire provision,
16	no.
17	Q. Do you know what it does or purports to
18	do?
19	A. I believe and I need to confirm it
20	that this law is about not having municipalities
21	required to make contributions, but I would need to
22	confirm it.
23	Q. But you said that something with respect
24	to this is still under consideration?
25	A. Yeah. It would not necessarily be

	60
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	consistent with the fiscal plan.
3	Q. That's a matter of being dealt with the
4	board on one hand and the central government on the
5	other?
6	MS DALE: That's a yes or no.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Are you involved in those discussions?
9	A. Not directly.
10	Q. Did you take Law 29 into account in the
11	net present value analysis that you conducted?
12	A. Not directly.
13	Q. How did you take it into account, if at
14	all?
15	A. We separately quantified the impact of
16	the Pay-Go associated with the retirees of the
17	municipalities and the NPV of that.
18	Q. And so you did your calculation both
19	including and not including the municipalities'
20	Pay-Go?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. I was asking you about where the money
23	to make these payments of retirees came from. I
24	believe you said they were reimbursements from
25	public corporations and subject to this Law 29,

61 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 reimbursements from municipalities, correct? 3 Just to clarify, the money came from the Α. 4 central government's budget is what I had clarified, 5 that that's how the pension payments for the 6 retirees are being made today is from the budget of 7 the central government. And reimbursements for those retirees 8 that are associated with the public corporations 9 and the municipalities are amounts owed back to 10 the central government from those entities. 11 You referred to those as retirements? 12 O. 13 Α. That's correct. 14 Many of the retirees are people who had 15 worked for the central government during their 16 careers, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. And so Hacienda makes retirement payments to those people as appropriate, correct? 19 20 Α. That's correct. What means of reimbursement, if any, is 2.1 Ο. 22 there from the central government to Hacienda with 23 respect to retirement payments to people who retired 24 from the central government? 25 Could you ask that question again, Α.



	62
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	please.
3	Q. I'm just going to repeat it.
4	What means of reimbursement, if any, is
5	there from the central government to Hacienda with
6	respect to retirement payments made to people who
7	retired from the central government?
8	A. I do not know if there is any specific
9	reimbursement from central government.
10	Q. All right. Do you know how the amount of
11	the reimbursement is calculated?
12	A. Yes. It is supposed to be the actual
13	payments that are made more specific retirees
14	associated with the municipalities or the
15	corporations.
16	Q. Do you know who calculates that amount?
17	A. I do not.
18	Q. Do you know if the amount is calculated
19	in advance or calculated after the retiree payments
20	have been made?
21	A. I'm not sure about that.
22	Q. Do you know the mechanism by which
23	various employers are told what to pay?
24	MS DALE: Objection to the form of the
25	question.

	63
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	A. My understanding is it is based on the
3	actual payments that go to their specific retirees
4	on a monthly basis is the source of the data.
5	That's the extent of my understanding around it.
6	Q. But you do you know whether employers
7	receive invoices from anybody?
8	A. My understanding is that there are
9	invoices that are sent out, but I'm not sure about
10	it.
11	Q. Do you know who sends the invoices?
12	A. My assumption would be Hacienda. I'm not
13	sure.
14	Q. Let's go back to your declaration, which
15	is Malhotra Exhibit Number 1. I think you
16	testified, Mr. Malhotra, you've been retained today
17	serve as an expert by the Proskauer Law Firm in
18	connection with the motion brought by the
19	bondholders for relief from the stay; is that
20	correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. And that motion is to be heard on July
23	2nd here in New York by Judge Swain?
24	A. That is my understanding.
25	Q. I assume you plan to attend the hearing?



	65					
1	G. MALHOTRA					
2	adds up the claim amount for Series A, B, and C.					
3	Q. Is that document identified as one of the					
4	materials you considered on page 8 of your report?					
5	A. I don't see it here.					
6	Q. Okay. Who prepared that spreadsheet?					
7	A. It would be my team. It would be a					
8	summary from the claim file that was publicly					
9	available.					
10	Q. When did they prepare this spreadsheet?					
11	A. Probably in the last month or so.					
12	Q. Okay.					
13	MR. STEWART: We would request that be					
14	produced, please.					
15	MS DALE: Sure.					
16	Q. Now, in the course you go on to say in					
17	paragraph 7 you've excluded the Teachers'					
18	Retirement System and the Judiciary Retirement					
19	System, correct?					
20	A. Correct.					
21	Q. And going back to your paragraph 7, did					
22	you, in fact, reach an opinion of the net present					
23	value of projected retirement benefit payments to be					
24	paid by the Commonwealth to pensioners who					
25	participated in the ERS retirement system?					



	66
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is that set forth in paragraph 14 of your
4	declaration?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And in Exhibit 1A, which follows
7	paragraph 14?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. Did there come a time when you were asked
10	to expand the scope of your work beyond what is set
11	forth in paragraph 7?
12	A. The context of the work was not expanded.
13	We probably had more detail that was provided, but
14	the context of the work did not expand.
15	Q. Did there come a time when you were asked
16	to also give an opinion with respect to the report
17	submitted by the bondholders' expert, Dr. Faten
18	Sabry?
19	A. Yes.
20	(Whereupon, a Rebuttal Declaration was
21	marked as Malhotra Exhibit 7 for
22	identification, as of this date.)
23	Q. Mr. Malhotra, the reporter's placed
24	before you Malhotra Exhibit 7.
25	Is this your rebuttal declaration?

	71				
1	G. MALHOTRA				
2	A. Yes.				
3	Q. And that's what you described to us				
4	earlier as the ProVAL analysis?				
5	A. Yes. That's the raw data file that has				
6	now been provided as well.				
7	Q. And so now you've told us everything you				
8	considered in reaching your opinions?				
9	A. Yes.				
10	Q. All the facts and all the data?				
11	A. To the best of my understanding, yeah.				
12	Q. Okay. Are you aware that the bondholders				
13	have retained professor Andrew Samwick to testify as				
14	an expert on their behalf?				
15	A. Yes.				
16	Q. Have you read Professor Samwick's report?				
17	A. Not in detail, but I have I have				
18	looked at it, yes.				
19	Q. Do you consider yourself competent to				
20	agree or disagree with the opinions Professor				
21	Samwick expresses?				
22	A. I would not be able to agree or disagree.				
23	Q. Do you plan to offer any opinion with				
24	respect to the work of Professor Samwick?				
25	A. I do not.				

	73						
1	G. MALHOTRA						
2	assumptions in a number of areas.						
3	First of all, did you assume one way or						
4	the other for purposes of your exercise whether						
5	the bondholders had a valid security interest?						
6	A. That was not considered.						
7	Q. Okay. Were you told to assume that the						
8	amount of benefits paid to retirees would equal the						
9	amount of reimbursements paid to Hacienda by the						
10	government employers?						
11	MS DALE: Objection to the form.						
12	A. Could you ask that question again,						
13	please.						
14	Q. Were you told to assume that the amount						
15	of retirement benefits to be paid to retirees would						
16	equal the amount of reimbursements Hacienda would						
17	receive from the government employers?						
18	MS DALE: Same objection.						
19	A. No. We were told to calculate the total						
20	cost of the retiree payments that were due in any						
21	given year.						
22	Q. Without regard to whether there was						
23	sufficient reimbursement to make Hacienda whole for						
24	those retirement payments?						
25	MS DALE: Objection.						

	74					
1	G. MALHOTRA					
2	A. Correct. That was not a consideration.					
3	Q. Were you told to assume that the stream					
4	of payments I'm now going to ask you about the					
5	bondholders' claim and assumptions you may have made					
6	or may not have made about the bondholders' claims.					
7	A. Okay.					
8	Q. Were you told to assume the stream of					
9	payments to the bondholders would continue until					
10	2058?					
11	A. That was not an assumption that we					
12	included.					
13	Q. You understand that the last scheduled					
14	payment on the bonds is in the year 2058, correct?					
15	A. That is correct.					
16	Q. Okay. Were you did you assume that					
17	the payments to the bondholders would occur in the					
18	amounts and on the schedule set forth in the					
19	underlying bond documents?					
20	A. That was not a part of our Pay-Go					
21	calculations.					
22	Q. Were you told to assume that schedule					
23	would not change in any way?					
24	A. No.					
25	Q. You understand that because ERS and the					

	87					
1	G. MALHOTRA					
2	factor at 3.58 percent is multiplied by the column					
3	titled Pay-Go Net of Municipalities?					
4	A. Yes.					
5	Q. That's footed to a number that's					
6	23,064,775?					
7	A. Yes, at 3.79 percent oh					
8	Q. That's at 3.58 percent?					
9	A. That's right.					
10	Q. And then the far right two columns do the					
11	same exercise at a higher discount rate, correct?					
12	A. That's correct.					
13	Q. Okay. This is the calculation that found					
14	its way into Exhibit 1A of your report?					
15	A. Yes.					
16	Q. What does that calculation purport to					
17	tell us?					
18	A. It says that the total amount of					
19	forecasted ERS Pay-Go of 26.9 billion on a present					
20	value basis at 3.58 discount rate is in excess of					
21	the estimated \$3.8 billion bonds.					
22	Q. Now, in conducting your analysis, the					
23	let's stay on Exhibit 8 Pay-Go numbers that you					
24	used were the numbers that Hacienda was expected to					
25	pay to retirees in all of those years, correct?					

88 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 That is correct. Α. 3 With the adjustments that you've told me 0. 4 about for municipalities and without municipalities? 5 You calculated it both ways? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. Did you give any consideration to Ο. conducting this analysis with respect to the 8 reimbursements Hacienda would receive from 9 10 government employers instead of the amounts Hacienda would pay to retirees? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Why not? Because we -- we're considering Hacienda 14 15 and the Commonwealth to be the same. 16 Commonwealth would essentially be reimbursing itself 17 is what that would equate to, so we did not. 18 0. Did you give any thought to conducting 19 this analysis with respect to the reimbursements 20 Hacienda could expect from public corporations and 21 municipalities? 2.2 Yes. We calculated the public 23 corporations and the municipalities separately, and 24 so we sort of laid those out separately to know what 25 the impact is if either of them decide not to pay.

89 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 Where is that set forth? 0. 3 So the Pay-Go for municipalities is the Α. 4 fifth column here, which is the 57/58 aggregate or 5 the 5.8 billion. You're referring to the footing at the 6 7 bottom of that column? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. Where is the analysis for the 0. public corporations? 10 So the non-fiscal plan corporations, I 11 think the total of that 3.6 billion, we have to go 12 13 to the ProVAL report. And again, if we look at the 14 total of 47.7 billion less, what we know is the 15 total fiscal plan agencies and the corporations, less the 5.8 billion for the municipalities, you can 16 actually back into the 3.6 billion. Because we have 17 18 the aggregate 47.7 that is basically provided from 19 our ProVAL report and its aggregate, and then we 20 have the individual components to be able come up with what the non-fiscal plan's corporations is. 2.1 22 I think you're going to have to help me 23 with that one more time. 24 Α. Sure. 25 First of all, are all public corporations Ο.

	91
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. I do not know how many they are.
4	Q. On Exhibit 1A, show me, if you could, how
5	you went from the calculation on Exhibit 8 to get
6	the numbers on Exhibit 1A, starting with FP
7	agencies.
8	A. So FP agencies, the 31.9 and the 6.4
9	together make up the 38.353.
10	Q. So how do you know which is which?
11	A. I would have to go back to, I think, a
12	ProVAL report or to be able to break down.
13	So in the overall census data file, at
14	the end of census data file, there is a coding
15	that says who is a fiscal plan entity, who is not
16	a fiscal plan entity, what is a corporation.
17	So there is actually a listing in that
18	census data file that says here's how every
19	employee is in the last most column coded to
20	either a corporation or an agency.
21	So we would have to go back to that to
22	do the breakdown. But in aggregate, those two
23	numbers would be the 38.3.
24	Q. In order to double-check your math, I
25	would need to go to ProVAL to disaggregate the 38.3;

92 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 is that right? 3 That's right. Or we could probably Α. 4 provide it separately. 5 And then we would do the same exercise for the other columns on Exhibit -- on Exhibit 1, 6 7 the table found in Exhibit 1A, to get the numbers under the NPVs; is that right? 8 9 That is correct. Α. I see. So how did you derive the number 10 0. for the non-NPV corporations? 11 That would similarly be done through 12 Α. 13 But over here, the way to actually do it on 14 this sheet is you start with the 47.7 and you back 15 out, you know, subtract from the 47.7 the 38.3 -- so 16 the 47.7 is an output which has all participants in 17 ERS. 18 From there, if we were to subtract the 38.3 which would cover all of the fiscal plan 19 20 agencies and corporations and then subtract from 21 it the municipalities, the remaining would be the non-fiscal plan corporations. 22 23 Okay. So if we're looking at our table, 0. which is denominated as Exhibit 1A on Exhibit 1, it 24 25 calculates that the present value, the net present

	93					
1	G. MALHOTRA					
2	value of this stream of payments equals, depending					
3	on the discount rate, 26.9 billion or 26.2 billion,					
4	correct?					
5	A. That is correct.					
6	Q. How is that to be paid to the					
7	bondholders?					
8	MS DALE: Objection to the form of the					
9	question.					
10	A. The current assumption shows the overall					
11	Pay-Go over the course of this time period through					
12	2058 that is available to be paid to retirees of the					
13	systems.					
14	Q. My question was not the retirees. It was					
15	to the bondholders.					
16	How are the bondholders to be paid from					
17	this income stream?					
18	A. There is not an assumption of paying					
19	bondholders in this payment stream as currently					
20	scheduled, because it is only payments to the					
21	retirees today.					
22	Q. Do you have any idea how this payment					
23	stream would be directed in a way to pay the					
24	bondholders?					
25	A. I think it would be a legal question in					



	94					
1	G. MALHOTRA					
2	terms of how the existing funds would get allocated					
3	towards the bondholders.					
4	Q. Have you assumed that the bondholders					
5	have a security interest on the payments that are					
6	made to retirees?					
7	A. We have not made that assumption in the					
8	forecast.					
9	Q. Let's just stay with Exhibit 8, which has					
10	these discount rates. The discount rates you chose,					
11	one was 3.58 percent and the other was 3.79 percent,					
12	correct?					
13	A. That is correct.					
14	Q. Each was taken from an index published by					
15	the bond buyer?					
16	A. Yes.					
17	Q. And that was for a basket of 20 municipal					
18	bonds?					
19	A. That's right.					
20	Q. Each of which had a maturity of 20 years?					
21	A. Yes.					
22	Q. This pay stream has a maturity of 29 more					
23	years?					
24	A. This has roughly about 40 years, yes.					
25	Q. Goes to 2058?					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

years.

Α.

0.

Video Deposition of Gaurav Malhotra, 6/18/2019

95 G. MALHOTRA Yes. What weight did you give when you chose the discount rate for the fact this payment stream was going to run for 39 years instead of 20 years? I didn't consider that to be that much different, because this was still a long-term nature. We were not using a short-term index. were using a fairly reasonably long-term index, so we did not consider going any further than the 20 Did you do any procedures to see how

40-year bonds compared to 20-year bonds in terms of the interest rate they bear when the bonds are similarly rated?

> Objection to the form. MS DALE:

- I did not, because with GASB 67, it sort Α. of had certain, you know, suggestion with respect to the using a 20-year bond index, so that's what we used in terms of coming up with the calculation.
- The basket of bonds that the bond 0. Okay. buyer uses are rated investment grade, correct?
 - That is correct. Α.
- 0. Would you view this stream of payments going to retirees from the Commonwealth to be



96 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 investment grade? 3 I view the stream of payments going to Α. 4 the retirees in the Commonwealth as a pretty strong 5 credit in terms of the security of making sure those retirees will get those checks. 6 7 Did you take into account the forecast of 8 what percentage of the Commonwealth's budget will be 9 quoted to retirement benefits in the coming years? 10 I did not look at the percentage of the overall budget that would be allocated just to 11 Pay-Go in the analysis, because it is an overall 12 13 liability or -- that would continue to reduce over a 14 period of time as we go into the long-term future. 15 So it wasn't an increasing liability. 16 Although the payments will be flat over the 17 foreseeable future, these will start eventually 18 declining. 19 Are you aware of the rate -- the yield, I Ο. 20 should say -- on the Commonwealth's general 21 obligation bonds today? 2.2 No. Α. 23 Are you aware it's over 17 percent? O. 24 Α. I had read in the expert report it was 25 stated to be 17 percent for that specific bond



\sim	TN /T 7N 7	г тт <i>г</i> ог	ᇄ
(T .	IVIA	$\Gamma_{i}HO^{-}$	IKA

- yield. But in my view, I view the payment stream to retirees under a completely different lens.
- Q. Did you give any consideration to using discount rates other than the two discount rates we see in Exhibit 8?
- A. No, I did not. There was a sensitivity in the Milliman report that went sort of 3.58 percent, what Pay-Go would be at 4.58 or -- I think that's what their report said.

But at the time of doing this analysis here, we did not consider any other discount rates.

- Q. And you have never done an analysis with any other discount rates, correct?
- A. I've run sensitivities after we got the last expert report to analyze if we were to change 3.58 percent to a much higher number, theoretically what could it be. But with the point being even if we use a much higher discount rate, the present value would still be in excess of the bond claim. That was more from an analytical standpoint to change my opinion in any way.
- Q. The analysis you just described to me, was that recorded in a spreadsheet of some sort?

98

G. MALHOTRA

- A. I don't know if it was recorded. This is like you can enter one number and it gives you the present value. I don't know if we have saved any other versions that would say here's what this looks like under different discount rates.
- Q. In your rebuttal report, did you talk about the fact that even at higher discount rates, the bondholders' claim would be less than the net present value?
 - A. I do not see it or do not recall.
- Q. Okay. Is that an opinion given in your rebuttal declaration?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Do you intend to give any opinion of that sort at the hearing on July 2nd?
- A. Not specifically unless counsel asks me for it, but I'm not planning to add anything to my rebuttal report.
- Q. Did you check to see whether a version of what we have before us of Exhibit 8 was saved where a higher discount rate was used?
- A. I can check, but I do not believe there would be something where higher discount rates would be used for the payment stream for the retirees for

	99
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	this particular analysis.
3	Q. I understood you just say a minute ago
4	and perhaps I misunderstood you that you or
5	someone on your team had taken the spreadsheet that
6	we see as Exhibit 8 and run it with different
7	discount rates? Did I misunderstand you?
8	A. That is fair.
9	Q. You don't know one way or the other
10	whether any of those versions of the spreadsheet
11	were saved?
12	A. I do not know, but I can check.
13	Q. Okay. Who was it who ran the different
14	versions?
15	A. It would be one of the team members. I
16	do not recall as to who. One of the team members
17	that was actually on the list from earlier, but I
18	don't know who actually would have done it.
19	Q. In any event, at the hearing, you don't
20	plan to offer any opinion of what results you would
21	get if your analysis were run at different discount
22	rates, correct?
23	A. Not that I know of as of now.
24	Q. Okay.
25	MS DALE: I would just point out if you

	100
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	were to ask him something on
3	cross-examination that would elicit that
4	opinion, he would give it.
5	MR. STEWART: In that case, that report
6	should have been turned over to us and not
7	only wasn't but wasn't saved, which probably
8	raises issues we should probably all want to
9	stay away from.
10	Do you want to take a break?
11	MS DALE: Totally up to you.
12	MR. STEWART: Let's do it.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video
14	record, 12:03 p.m.
15	(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
16	proceeding.)
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
18	video record, 12:18 p.m.
19	Q. Before the break, Mr. Malhotra, I asked
20	you about the discount rate that you had used, and
21	you had explained to me why you set it at the level
22	you did.
23	Was one of the factors, in your
24	judgment, the fact that the payments that would be
25	going to retirees would come from the general

101

G. MALHOTRA

assets of the treasury?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Actually, no. The reason to use it, there were no other assets available to fund the systems or -- you know, the payments due to the retirees, and using that discount rate was a good measure to predict the certainty these payments were going to be made so they were more fixed in nature than variable in nature.
- Q. If you were to assign a discount rate solely to the stream of reimbursements to Hacienda from public corporations and municipalities, would you have used the same discount rate?
- A. I would use the same discount rate for those payments that need to be made to the retirees of the municipalities in the corporations.
- Q. And those payments would be coming from the general counsel, the treasury, correct?
- A. They would come from the Commonwealth, yes.
- Q. And that includes revenues that come to the Commonwealth from general taxes?
 - A. Yes. All the sources of revenue, yeah.
- Q. Let me ask you this: If you were asked to use a discount rate solely for the stream of

	102
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	reimbursements going to Hacienda from public
3	corporations and from municipalities, would you use
4	the same discount rate to reach a net present value
5	of that stream of payments?
6	A. I would have to think about that.
7	Because the question on there is higher degree of
8	risk for given what we have seen for
9	reimbursements to the municipalities from Hacienda.
10	But that is not a topic in terms of how
11	I have looked at it, because in my view, what I
12	have looked at the payments that go to retirees
13	regardless of the appointments that go to the
14	retirees or the municipalities or the corporations
15	have the same risk profile as that of the
16	Commonwealth regardless of the reimbursement that
17	is coming from the municipalities of the
18	Commonwealth.
19	Q. Okay.
20	MR. STEWART: Let's mark some more
21	exhibits here.
22	(Whereupon, an AAFAF document was
23	marked as Malhotra Exhibit 9 for
24	identification, as of this date.)
25	Q. Mr. Malhotra, the reporter's placed

	106
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. To your knowledge, is the financial
3	information contained in Exhibit 10 accurate?
4	A. I have no reason to believe it would not
5	be.
6	Q. On page 2 of Exhibit 10, there are
7	tables. The title of the tables is Public
8	Corporations and Municipalities That Owe at Least
9	\$1.00 MM in Pay-Go Debt.
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you understand what those two tables
13	purport to show?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What is it that they purport to show?
16	A. That between 2018 and 2019 combined,
17	public corporations owe 187 million and
18	municipalities between 2018 and 2019 combined own
19	127 million back to the Commonwealth.
20	Q. In reimbursements?
21	A. In payments that the Commonwealth has
22	made on behalf of their specific retirees, and they
23	should be reimbursing the Commonwealth for it.
24	Q. In the course of your work as an expert,
25	what consideration, if any, did you give to the data

\sim	TN /T 7N 7	г тт <i>г</i> ог	ᇄ
(T .	IVIA	$\Gamma_{i}HO^{-}$	IKA

that we see in Malhotra Exhibit 10?

A. The data that I looked at was what is the aggregate amount of Pay-Go that is due to the municipalities' retirees as one of the main items. We also broke out what is the amount due from public corporations.

But looking at the amount from municipalities is the piece that I had looked at in the context of total Pay-Go that is due to the municipalities' retirees.

- Q. Okay. So my question was: In reaching your opinions as an expert, which weight, if any, did you give to the financial information we see on page 2 of Malhotra Exhibit 10?
 - A. Not much.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Because, as I said earlier, my view is that these are actual retirees today getting a pension check. And, you know, as of now, I have not seen anything that would question whether any of these retirees are going to get paid or not regardless of the -- regardless of whether the entity's reimbursed.

So while the letter definitely is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Video Deposition of Gaurav Malhotra, 6/18/2019

108

G.	$NIXTII \cap TT$	7 (
G.	MALHOTE	\mathbf{A}

encouraging the municipalities or the government to really collect all of these amounts due from the municipalities and corporations, the actual retiree collecting that pension check, my view was that that is not going to get impacted over the foreseeable future.

Q. And so there was no connection you could see between the financial information on page 2 of Malhotra Exhibit 10 on one hand and repayment to the bondholders of the debt they believe is owed to them?

MS DALE: Objection.

A. The repayment to the bondholders -- my point is there is -- there is money that is -- the 47.7 billion in aggregate Pay-Go that we're talking about, that is what the Commonwealth is going to be spending for all retirees.

If the bondholders have to get repaid, the Commonwealth will have to find -- will have to be able to pay that, let's say, from the 47.7 billion, whatever the amount will be due, and will have to sort of figure out how to come up with the gap to continue to pay its pensioners.

Q. So you don't see a direct relationship

109

G. MALHOTRA

between the reimbursements owing the Hacienda and the bondholders' ability to be paid?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

A. I think the relationship that exists is if there is fewer proceeds or less money available to the Commonwealth because there is less of a reimbursement, that means that -- you know, it doesn't change the 47.7 billion obligation of the Commonwealth.

In terms of the connection of the bondholders getting repaid, that's something I need to think through further in terms of the direct impact, because the Commonwealth is assuming that the reimbursements will come through from the municipalities and the corporations.

- Q. And in your analysis, you didn't see that it would be the reimbursements that would be the basis for repaying the bondholders?
- A. Yeah. We, in these scenarios, did not go through a repayment cycle. As I said earlier, we were only focused on total Pay-Go.
 - Q. The answer to my question is no?
- A. We did not do any analysis to compare reimbursements from municipalities with any form of bondholder payments. We did not conduct any

	110
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	analyses like that.
3	Q. Made no assumptions on the point, either?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. Let's go back to Malhotra Exhibit 8.
6	That's the one the spreadsheet. On the text side
7	of it, there's the various disclaimers.
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. It depends what side of the document we
11	put the stamp on. I guess this is the first side of
12	the document.
13	Why was it that EY issued disclaimers
14	with respect to the analysis on Malhotra Exhibit
15	8?
16	A. I believe this would be the team's
17	standard disclaimer.
18	Q. And is the disclaimer anything more than
19	boilerplate?
20	A. I would have to read it, because this had
21	our actuaries working on the information as well, so
22	
23	Q. Well, let's go through it.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. If we look at one, two, three, four,

114 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 going forward. 3 Later in the sentence, there is a 0. 4 reference to, quote, ongoing cleanup taking place. 5 What is that? My assumption is that would be Milliman 6 7 continuing to work through the census data and refining it, probably, as they get ready for the 8 9 next actuarial report and the corresponding census 10 data for that. Okay. If we go further up, the sixth 11 0. paragraph says: There will usually be differences 12 13 between projected and actual results because events 14 and circumstances frequently do not occur as 15 expected, and those differences may be material. 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 O. Okay. First of all, what did EY mean when it used the word "material"? 19 20 I don't recall and I do not -- maybe -- I Α. 21 would like to see if some of that was a direct 22 reference from a Milliman report, but what may be 23 material for one particular year could be the 24 context, but I'm not sure. 25 What would be the test for materiality, 0.



115 1 G. MALHOTRA 2 as that phrase is used in this sentence? 3 I can't say. Α. 4 Okay. How would we know whether a 0. 5 difference is or is not material, as that word is use in this sentence? 6 7 I really can't -- there is -- in terms of a threshold from a year or year basis, you know, I 8 -- it wouldn't be sort of changing more than -- I 9 don't know -- 5 percent or something like that, in 10 11 my experience. But generally for a population of this 12 13 size and this makeup of a significant number of retirees who have already retired, unless we have 14 15 dramatically new entrants come through, I don't anticipate, you know, really material changes on 16 an annual basis that I can foresee. 17 18 O. Okay. But the disclaimer is here, 19 It says there usually will be differences, though. 20 correct? Yes, because the model makes predictions 2.1 Α. on the number of people that die every year or -- so 22 23 those are all theoretical models based on tables. 24 There are sometimes changes -- the actual

realization of the number of people that die in any

$\overline{}$	T\ /T 7\	T TT	\cap	7
G.	IVLA	ΠП	OTR	А

given year within the overall census cannot be predicted perfectly, but it's based on industry practice based on models around that.

Q. "As a result, no assurance regarding the achievement of forecasted results is provided, and reliance should not be placed an any forecasted results or projects contained herein, as some information is subject to material change and may not reflect actual results."

Do you see where I just read?

A. Yes.

- Q. There's a typo in there. The word "projects" should be projections; isn't that right?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.
- Q. Why did EY say reliance should not be placed on any forecasted results or projections contained herein?
- A. I think that's our standard disclaimer language. I read through it. I was comfortable with these projections based on -- even comparing it to the Milliman projections, that in aggregate, the projections that our team has come up with are very, very close on an annual basis to the Milliman projections.

	117
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Q. And what two documents were compared to
3	support the statement you just made?
4	A. The documents that would have been
5	compared there is a Milliman letter
6	Q. Is it the last page of Exhibit 1? Is it
7	one of the materials considered?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Which letter? There's a few Milliman
10	letters here.
11	A. I believe it would be material considered
12	Number 5
13	Q. Number 5. Okay.
14	A which would have an annual layout of
15	all total Pay-Go precut on an annual basis for 2049,
16	I believe, or 2048, and I compared that to our total
17	Pay-Go costs, and again, on a precut basis, and
18	those numbers were within an aggregate half a
19	percent of each other and in no particular year, I
20	thought the tolerance level was about 1 1/2 percent
21	in the outer years.
22	But my view of looking at those two on
23	an apples-to-apples basis is the numbers were very
24	close.
25	Q. What was the EY document that the

	118
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	Milliman letter was compared against?
3	A. I would have to look at it. Either it
4	would be Exhibit 8 or the ProVAL. It would be one
5	of the two documents.
6	Q. Okay. So let's go back to Malhotra
7	Exhibit 8 and the disclaimers that we were talking
8	about.
9	It says: Reliance should not be placed
10	on any forecasts or results projections herein.
11	Correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. In your report, did you rely upon the
14	forecasted results we see in Malhotra Exhibit 8?
15	A. In my report, I did rely on the
16	information under Exhibit 8 as one of the pieces
17	that went into this document.
18	Q. Was there any other net present value
19	calculation you relied on besides what we see in
20	Exhibit 8?
21	A. Not that I recall.
22	Q. Okay.
23	(Whereupon, a letter was marked as
24	Malhotra Exhibit 11 for identification, as of
25	this date.)

	125
1	G. MALHOTRA
2	as opposed to receiving it over the next 39 years?
3	A. No.
4	Q. So you assume they would receive it in
5	installments over each of the next 39 years?
6	A. I've assumed neither, because what I have
7	assumed is that the amount of Pay-Go that is
8	available if Pay-Go is available, that if it
9	needs to be paid to the bondholders, it is
10	available.
11	And then what we're showing is that
12	over the first ten years, the overall present
13	value of the Pay-Go is you know, far exceeds
14	the overall bond claim to the magnitude of three
15	times.
16	Q. And Pay-Go, as you've used it here, is
17	the money being paid out to retirees?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Let me ask you, if we could if we can
20	look at paragraph, there's a table. Exhibit A on
21	page 3.
22	Do you see the graphic there?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What does that show?
25	A. That shows that on an annual basis,